

| Proposal Title : | | | a mixed use development oad and 2A Oliver Road, Cl | | Highway, 658-666 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|---------------|------------------|
| Proposal Summa | | The planning proposal seeks to allow for the redevelopment of a consolidated site for the purpose of a mixed use development by increasing height and floor space ratio. | | | |
| PP Number : | PP_2014_WILLO_0 | 03_00 | Dop File No : | 14/000000 | |
| roposal Details | | | | | ale national and |
| Date Planning Proposal Receive | 04-Jul-2014 d : | | LGA covered : | Willoughby | |
| Region : | Metro(CBD) | | RPA : | Willoughby | City Council |
| State Electorate : | WILLOUGHBY | | Section of the Act : | 55 - Planning | g Proposal |
| LEP Type : | Spot Rezoning | | | | |
| Location Details | | | | | |
| Street : | 654-659 Pacific Highway | | | | |
| Suburb : | Chatswood | City : | Sydney | Postcode : | 2067 |
| Land Parcel : | Lot 1 DP 1068007 | | | | |
| Street : | 658-666 Pacific Highway | | | | |
| Suburb : | Chatswood | City | Sydney | Postcode : | 2067 |
| Land Parcel : | Lot 1 DP 121830 | | | | |
| Street : | 1 Freeman Road | | | | |
| Suburb : | Chatswood | City: | Sydney | Postcode : | 2067 |
| Land Parcel : | Lot 1 DP 839309 | | | | |
| Street : | 2A Oliver Road | | | | |
| Suburb : | Chatswood | City : | Sydney | Postcode : | 2067 |
| Land Parcel : | Lot 2 DP 839309 | | | | |

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

| Contact Name : | Belinda Morrow |
|------------------|------------------------------------|
| Contact Number : | 0285754124 |
| Contact Email : | belinda.morrow@planning.nsw.gov.au |

RPA Contact Details

| Contact Name : | Craig O'Brien |
|------------------|------------------------------------|
| Contact Number : | 0297777647 |
| Contact Email : | craig.obrien@willoughby.nsw.gov.au |

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

| Contact Name : | |
|------------------|------------|
| Contact Number : | 0000000000 |
| Contact Email : | |

Land Release Data

| Growth Centre : | | Release Area Name : |
|--|-----|--|
| Regional / Sub Regional Strategy : | | Consistent with Strategy |
| MDP Number : | | Date of Release : |
| Area of Release (Ha) : | | Type of Release (ég Residential / Employment land) : |
| No. of Lots | 0 | No. of Dwellings (where relevant) : |
| Gross Floor Area : | 0 | No of Jobs Created : |
| The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : | Yes | |

If No, comment :

Have there been No meetings or communications with registered lobbyists?

If Yes, comment :

Supporting notes

| Internal Supporting Notes : | The site is a corner site of 2,856m2 on Oliver Road, Pacific Highway and Freeman Road. The site is comprised of existing commercial/retail uses. The site is surrounded by medium to high density residential development. With additional low rise commercial development located to the north and north-east of the site. The site is proposed to be consolidated into a single development site. |
|--------------------------------|---|
| | At a meeting of 10 June 2014, Council considered the planning proposal and amended concept prepared by Ingham Planning on behalf of the landowner and resolved to support the planning proposal proceeding to Gateway. |

18

0

| ti. | The proposal seeks to amend the WLEP 2012 to include redevelopment incentives for the site, encouraging site consolidation. This will result in a larger developable area capable of accommodating a higher density mixed use development within the existing B5 Business Development zone. |
|--------------------------------|--|
| | The proposed incentives will allow for an increase in FSR from 2:1 to 3.2:1 and an increase in the maximum building height from 18 metres to 24 metres (8 storeys) with a development area of 2,000sqm created through the consolidation of existing allotments, as envisaged in the Willoughby Development Control Plan. |
| | A consolidation incentives clause permitting additional height and floor space will ultimately provide a large site in the Chatswood CBD that can be redeveloped to its full potential based on a satisfactory amended urban design concept. |
| | To clearly establish the expected form of redevelopment on the site, a draft Willoughby Development Control Plan Site Specific Requirements Part E3.4 has been prepared to be exhibited with the planning proposal. |
| | Council has requested delegation to carry out the Minister's function under section 59 of the EP&A Act 1979 to progress this planning proposal. |
| | The Department supports the planning proposal proceeding to Gateway determination as it will positively contribute to increasing commercial floor space, employment and housing choice within a well located and serviced centre. |
| External Supporting Notes : | |

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment : The statement of objectives adequately describes the intention of the planning proposal to amend the Willoughby Local Environmental Plan 2012.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

| Comment : | The explanation of provisions adequately addresses the intended changes to Willoughby Local Environmental Plan 2012. |
|-----------|--|
| | The proposal seeks to amend the Plan, as follows: |
| | 1. Amend the Height of Buildings Map to identify the site as 'Area 4' and to increase the existing height increase for the site to RL 137.8 for Tower A and RL 128.8 for Tower B. |
| | 2. Insert sub clause 4.3A(9) Height of Buildings: |
| | The height of a building on land at 654 — 666 Pacific Highway, 1 Freeman Road and 2A Oliver Road, Chatswood, that is identified as 'Area 4' on the Height of Buildings Map must not exceed 18 metres except: |
| | a) Where the site area exceeds 2,400 square metres, the height must not exceed the height shown on the Height of Buildings Map. |
| | 3. Amend the Floor Space Ratio Map to identify the consolidated site as 'Area 15'. |
| | 4. Insert sub clause 4.4A(20) Exceptions to Floor Space Ratio: (20) The maximum floor space ratio for a building on land identified as 'Area 15' on the Floor Space Ratio Map may exceed 2:1, if: a) the site area exceeds 2,400 square metres; |
| | |

| | | o will not exceed 3:1; and o of any shop top housing will not exceed 2.14:1. |
|---|---|--|
| | 5. Identify the land Lo 839309, known as 654 | ot 1 DP 1068007, Lot 1 DP 121830, Lot 1 DP 839309 and Lot 2 DP I-666 Pacific Highway, 1 Freeman Road and 2A Oliver Road, 3' on the Special Provisions Area Map for the purposes of affordable |
| ustification - s55 (2) | (c) | |
| a) Has Council's strategy | been agreed to by the D | irector General? No |
| b) S.117 directions identi | fied by RPA : | 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones |
| * May need the Director (| General's agreement | 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 |
| Is the Director Genera | I's agreement required? | |
| c) Consistent with Standa | - | |
| d) Which SEPPs have the | e RPA identified? | SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 |
| e) List any other matters that need to | There were no unresolved inconsistencies with section 117 Directions. | |
| be considered : | State Environmental Planning Policy 55 - Remediation of Contaminated Lands The proponent has noted that the potential for existence of contaminated soils is unlikely. However, there may be some potential asbestos hazard arising from demolitio of existing buildings. To satisfactorily address this concern a contamination assessment will be required at development assessment stage. | |
| Have inconsistencies with | | g adequately justified? Yes |
| lf No, explain : | | |
| lapping Provided - s | 55(2)(d) | |
| Is mapping provided? No | | |
| Comment : | | sal does not include sufficient mapping. |
| | Prior to public exhibition, the planning proposal should be amended to: - identify the map sheets from Willoughby LEP 2012 which are to be amended; - include maps showing the existing special areas provisions, height and FSR for the consolidated site; and - include maps showing the proposed special areas provisions, height and FSR for the consolidated site. | |
| community consultat | tion - s55(2)(e) | |
| Has community consultat | | |
| Comment : | | 28 day exhibition period. |
| | Council proposes to documentation: | exhibit the planning proposal along with the following |
| | | t amendments to the Willoughby Local Environmental Plan 2012; t amendments to the Willoughby Development Control Plan for site d |
| | | Planning Agreement. |

Project Timeline:

The planning proposal contains an estimated project timeline for completion by April 2015.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

- The planning proposal provides adequate information for the following:
 1. objectives and intended outcomes
 2. explanation of the provisions
 3. justification for the proposal
 4. community consultation
- 5. project time line

Delegation of plan making functions is considered to be appropriate in this instance.

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

LEP :

Comments in The Willoughby Local Environmental Plan 2012 was made on 31 January 2013. relation to Principal

Assessment Criteria

| Need for planning proposal : | The planning proposal is an outcome of a detailed site analysis which considered redevelopment advantages of a larger site and the implications of existing controls. |
|---|---|
| | The proposal underpins Council's overall vision of concentrating higher density development within the Chatswood City Centre in order to retain the character of the surrounding low density housing areas. |
| Consistency with strategic planning framework : | The planning proposal is consistent with the goals and actions of the Willoughby City Strategy 2012-2025. By providing additional commercial floor space, employment and housing choice. |
| | The planning proposal is consistent with the objectives of the draft Metropolitan Strategy for Sydney 2031 and the draft Inner North Sub Regional Strategy by providing commercial development and housing on a large consolidated site within an established urban area with direct access to public transport and services. |
| | A consolidation incentives clause permitting additional height and floor space will allow large sites within Chatswood CBD to be redeveloped to their full potential. |
| Environmental social economic impacts : | Council identifies the site as being free of development hazards such as flooding, bush fire, land contamination, acid sulphate soils, land slip and mine subsidence. |
| | Acoustic impacts The site is not exposed to rail noise due to the separation distance from the railway corridor and the noise dampening effect of intervening buildings. |

| | The site is however located within close proximity to a major road (Pacific Highway) and therefore in a noisy environment. An independent analysis has identified that increased density on site will not have an adverse noise impact on neighbouring development. | | |
|---|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Increased residential yield will b which will be less exposed to tra satisfactorily address this conc assessment stage. | affic noise compared to the lo | ower floor levels. To |
| | Transport impacts The transport study provided by the proponent has considered the development scheme, comprising of commerical, retail and residential elements, and has concluded that: 1) there will be no adverse traffic implications from proposal; 2) parking can be provided to comply with Council's DCP requirements; and 3) the proposed vehicle access, circulation and servicing arrangements will be suitable and appropriate. | | |
| | Shadow impacts Shadow diagrams (based on an indicative concept plan) illustrate the extent of increased mid-winter shadow cast beyond the site boundaries is relatively minor and predominantly confined to the period before 10 am and after 2pm. | | |
| | In addition, the proposed 2 to 5 additional storeys do not cast any additional shadows to any of the apartments or their adjoining private open space. | | |
| | Privacy impacts The proponent concludes that development of the site at the higher density will not result in adverse privacy impacts on neighbouring residential development. The site is separated from neighbouring development on three sides and will have no privacy impacts on apartment buildings located to the north or south of the site. | | |
| | View and Visual Impacts The proponent states that the increase in density and building height does not adversely impact on any existing significant views or outlooks. | | |
| | Heritage impacts There are no heritage items on or adjoining the site. | | |
| | The planning proposal is consid transitional use, economic viabi reasonable amenity, and provid | ility, consolidation, enhanced | |
| Assessment Process | S | | |
| Proposal type | Precinct | Community Consultation Period : | 28 Days |
| Timeframe to make LEP : | 9 months | Delegation : | RPA |
| Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) : | Transport for NSW Transport for NSW - Roads and | Maritime Services | |

| Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? | No | |
|--|-----|--|
| (2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? | Yes | |
| If no, provide reasons : | | |
| | | |
| Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No | | |
| If Yes, reasons : | | |
| Identify any additional studies, if required. : | | |
| If Other, provide reasons : | | |
| Identify any internal consultations, if required : | | |
| No internal consultation required | | |
| Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No | | |
| If Yes, reasons : | | |

Documents

| Document File Name | DocumentType Name | Is Public |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|
| 1 - Letter from Council.pdf | Proposal Covering Letter | Yes |
| 2 - Planning Proposal Application Form.pdf | Proposal Covering Letter | Yes |
| 3 - Planning Proposal (Original Dec 2013).pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| 3 - Planning Proposal Appendix (Original Dec 2013).pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| 4 - Planning Proposal (Amended May 2014).pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| 5 - Council Resolution and Report.pdf | Determination Document | Yes |

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

| Preparation of the plantin | ig proposal supported at this stage. Incommended with containents |
|----------------------------|--|
| S.117 directions: | 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 |
| Additional Information : | It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed subject to the following conditions: 1. The planning proposal be exhibited for a minimum of 28 days; 2. The planning proposal be completed within 9 months of the Gateway Determination; 3. Prior to undertaking exhibition, maps are to be prepared that illustrate the existing and |
| | proposed special areas provisions, height of building and floor space ratio for the consolidated site; 4. External consultation is undertaken with Transport for NSW and Roads and Maritime Services; 5. A public hearing is not required to be held. |

| Planning Proposal to allow for a mixed use development at 654-659 Pacific Highway, 558-666 Pacific Highway, 1 Freeman Road and 2A Oliver Road, Chatswood. | | |
|--|--|--|
| Supporting Reasons : | The Department supports the planning proposal as it will positively contribute to job numbers and housing choice within a well located and serviced Centre, and seeks to better utilise the site by encouraging consolidation of lots. | |
| | It is considered appropriate that the proposal proceed, and that it be exhibited for public comment. | |
| Signature: Printed Name: | Tim Arcula Date: 15/7/14 | |